

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/539,032	03/30/2000	Samir Kumar Brahmachari	07064-01001	7985
26161 7590 03/05/2004 FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST			EXAMINER	
			MORAN, MARJORIE A	
BOSTON, MA	02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/539,032	BRAHMACHARI ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Marjorie A. Moran	1631			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ch places the application in ely filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T 706.07(f).	ing date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 Cf fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding am fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the matimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension y originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed v 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
<ul><li>(b)</li></ul>	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate issues for appeal; and/or	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a s canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been consapplication in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	to issues which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or be explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to: 1,3,4,6 and 8.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ approved or b) $\square$ disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10.⊠ Other: Interview Summary	Mayorga Abran
	Marjorie A. Moran Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1631

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment to step (vii) of claim 1 introduces a new issue and new matter. See the Interview Summary.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are directed to the amended claims, and the term proteome is still undefined. As the amendment has not been entered and one skilled in the art would still not know the metes and bounds intended by applicant for a "proteome", the rejections are maintained.